

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,713	08/24/2001	Kevin Bassett	18787.00	2077
37833	7590 06/29/2004		EXAM	INER
LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD. P.O. BOX 15035 CRYSTAL CITY STATION ARLINGTON, VA 22215			HANEY, MATTHEW J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	3
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		*
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
,	09/935,713	BASSETT, KEVIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew Haney	2613
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in a prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6</u> .		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	<del></del>	

Application/Control Number: 09/935,713

Art Unit: 2613

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Langfahl (US 6,741,165 B1).

As for claims 1 and 7 Langfahl teaches of a means for capturing images within a selected field of view (Note: imaging device which is suitably situated to perform the imaging requirement, Column 3, Lines 14-19); at least one sensor for detecting an activity of intrusion and capturing said intruder (Note: imaging device activated by sensors connected to control unit, Column 3, Lines 24-29); a power supply for capturing and sensor (i.e. car battery) and a processing means for processing image data and transmitting it a remote device (Note: images may be transmitted to an external location

Application/Control Number: 09/935,713

Art Unit: 2613

where data is supplied to transmitter by control unit, Column 4, Lines 15-25); and said first, second, and third housing units (Column 3, Lines 30-34).

As for claims 2 and 13 Langfahl teaches of a remote device which is a computer network system (Note: remote server comprising of software, web server, and image sending software, Column 4, Lines 40-43).

As for claims 3 and 14 Langfahl teaches of a computer network system which includes at least one internet server (Column 4, Lines 44-45).

As for claims 4-6 and 15-17 Langfahl teaches of a computer network system which includes at least one digital device (i.e. a web-enabled PDA or satellite) and wireless data transmission and reception capability (Note: imae sending software transmits the images to an image receiving device (i.e. PDA, etc.), Column 4, Lines 59-64).

As for claims 8-10 Langfahl teaches of a processing and transmission means are housed within said second housing portion of the vehicle and power means being housed within said third housing portion and first housing portion is in an interior cab portion of the vehicle (Column 3, Lines 30-36).

As for claims 11 and 12 Langfahl teaches of a second housing portion which is an interior truck portion and a third housing portion which is an interior hood portion (Column 3, Lines 30-34).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/935,713

Art Unit: 2613

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langfahl (US 6,741,165 B1) in view of Kikinis (US 5,815,093).

Most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the above rejection of claims 7, 13, 15, and 17. Langfahl does not teach of data that is positional data of the automobile, however, Kikinis does (Note: location (i.e. positional) data is based from a GPS receiver, Column 5, Lines 21-35). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply the GPS receiver to the apparatus in order to have a location that could be sent to the police or other emergency vehicles in order for a more timely response.

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langfahl (US 6,741,165 B1) in view of Newlin (US 5,636,211).

Most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the above rejection of claims 1 and 7. Langfahl does not teach of a specified frequency range from 1 GHz up to 2.4 GHz for use in transmitting and receiving captured data or does he teach of a capturing image data within a frequency range of 12 GHz up to 18 GHz, however, Newlin does (Column 6 Lines 58-60). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply the frequencies mentioned because standard use of these frequencies and the equipment used in the invention requires these set frequencies.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew Haney whose telephone number is 703-305-

4915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7-4:30), Every Other Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 703-305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew Haney Examiner Art Unit 2613

mjh